|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| |  | | --- | | Student Name:     \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| CATEGORY | **4** | **3** | **2** | **1** |
| **Respect for Other Team** | All statements, body language, and responses were respectful and were in appropriate language. | Statements and responses were respectful and used appropriate language, but once or twice body language was not. | Most statements and responses were respectful and in appropriate language, but there was one sarcastic remark. | Statements, responses and/or body language were consistently not respectful. |
| **Information** | All information presented in the debate was clear, accurate and thorough. | Most information presented in the debate was clear, accurate and thorough. | Most information presented in the debate was clear and accurate, but was not usually thorough. | Information had several inaccuracies OR was usually not clear. |
| **Rebuttal** | All counter-arguments were accurate, relevant and strong. | Most counter-arguments were accurate, relevant, and strong. | Most counter-arguments were accurate and relevant, but several were weak. | Counter-arguments were not accurate and/or relevant |
| **Use of Facts/Statistics** | Every major point was well supported with several relevant facts, statistics and/or examples. | Every major point was adequately supported with relevant facts, statistics and/or examples. | Every major point was supported with facts, statistics and/or examples, but the relevance of some was questionable. | Every point was not supported. |
| **Presentation Style** | Team consistently used gestures, eye contact, tone of voice and a level of enthusiasm in a way that kept the attention of the audience. | Team usually used gestures, eye contact, tone of voice and a level of enthusiasm in a way that kept the attention of the audience. | Team sometimes used gestures, eye contact, tone of voice and a level of enthusiasm in a way that kept the attention of the audience. | One or more members of the team had a presentation style that did not keep the attention of the audience. |
| **Organization** | All arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion. | Most arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) and organized in a tight, logical fashion. | All arguments were clearly tied to an idea (premise) but the organization was sometimes not clear or logical. | Arguments were not clearly tied to an idea (premise). |
| **Understanding of Topic** | The team clearly understood the topic in-depth and presented their information forcefully and convincingly. | The team clearly undestood the topic in-depth and presented their information with ease. | The team seemed to understand the main points of the topic and presented those with ease. | The team did not show an adequate understanding of the topic. |